

## Root morphology and phosphorus efficiency in wild and cultivated species

Jocelyne Ascencio<sup>\*1</sup>, Elena Medina<sup>2</sup> and Jorge Ugarte<sup>1</sup>

1:Departamento de Botanica, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Fac. Agronomía, Maracay 2101, Venezuela

2:Actual: INIA, San Felipe, Edo Yaracuy, Venezuela

jocelyne@telcel.net.ve

### Introduction

Root morphology and architecture are important traits for P acquisition since the relative immobility of P in soils makes the plant very dependent on efficient soil exploitation in time and space (Barber 1984). In acid soils, phosphates of Fe and Al can be mobilized by the influence of the rizosphere exudation of organic acids and phenols (Bolan et al. 1997; Rao et al. 1999). In alkaline soils, organic acids cause the dissolution of calcium phosphates by lowering the pH and by the chelation of Ca. The objective of the present paper is the study of P-efficiency associated to plant attributes for P acquisition from sparingly soluble sources, in different plant species.

### Materials and Methods

Plant materials: Three dicotyledoneous cultivated species : *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) WALP, *Cajanus cajan* (L.) MILLSP, and the three wild species *Desmodium tortuosum* Sw. DC., *Euphorbia heterophylla* L., and *Amaranthus dubius* MART., were grown from seeds planted in 950 ml properly drained pots with sand, every other day irrigated with water and with 100 ml of a nutrient solution with P as soluble potassium dihydrogen phosphate (T0) or the sparingly soluble inorganic phosphates of iron (T1) or dicalcium (T2). Six plants per species and P treatment, were harvested at ages shown under "results" within the early vegetative phase for each species, and the roots of 3 plants carefully washed with distilled water and preserved in ethanol 70% for morphological and architectural studies, using image analysis to assess general patterns of root architecture and lateral branching. The remaining 3 plants were used for dry weight and P determinations in the dry matter of roots and shoots, with the phosphomolibdate method after wet digestion with HCl and hydrogen peroxide.

### Results and Discussion

There were marked differences in growth (biomass accumulation) and total root length, when Fe or Ca phosphates were the only source of P in the nutrient solution and, except for the pigeon pea and *Vigna*, plants could uptake more P when supplied with dicalcium phosphate (T2). However, when plants were irrigated with soluble K-phosphate (T0), the root system was better developed.

Marked differences in phosphorus absorption efficiency per unit root length (PAE) were observed when plants were irrigated with K (T0), Fe (T1) or Ca (T2) phosphates that reflected the different strategies for P-acquisition developed by the species. As seen in Table 1, except for *Amaranthus*, lower values of total root length were observed in T1. Efficiency was lower in the two wild species *Amaranthus* and *Euphorbia*, except for the highest value found for *Euphorbia* in T2. Higher values of root length were not directly correlated with higher values of PAE and, except for pigeon pea and *Vigna*, plants could uptake more P when supplied with dicalcium than with iron phosphate. In general, PAE was higher when plants were irrigated with sparingly soluble phosphorus sources and the response appeared to be somewhat species related as PAE was *Euphorbia* > *Desmodium* > *Phaseolus* > *Cajanus* > *Amaranthus* > *Vigna*, in T2, and *Vigna* > *Cajanus* > *Desmodium* > *Euphorbia* > *Phaseolus* > *Amaranthus* in T1.

Genetic variations in rizosphere acidification is an important trait associated to P acquisition and P-efficient plants exuded significantly larger amounts of both total acids and protons than inefficient ones (Yan 1998). P uptake is related to root surface area, absolute root length, root growth angle, lateral rooting, root hair density and root hair length (Bar-Yosef 1996; Lynch and Brown 1998). In the present study differences in P-utilization efficiency (PUE) and specific root length (SRL) are shown in Table 2: lower PUE found for T2 plants and higher SRL for the wild species in T0 and T1. Alterations in the architecture of the root system were found and differences were obvious in lateral root dispersion in single axis of secondary roots: less dispersed laterals were observed in T0 followed by T1. The most dispersed pattern was observed in T2.

Table 1. PAE: Phosphorus absorption efficiency (mg P in shoots/root length) and L: Total root length (m)

	DAYS	T0 (KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> )		T1 (FePO <sub>4</sub> )		T2 (Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> )	
		PAE	L	PAE	L	PAE	L
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	30	34.0	63.4±5.6	6.0	32.9±6.4	27.7	65.0±6.4
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	33	30.9	75.5±19.8	41.3	41.6±11.7	10.4	85.5±12.3
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	35	16.8	20.6±13.8	25.2	11.4±1.2	18.9	12.8±3.2
<i>Desmodium tortuosum</i>	44	44.9	21.8±2.2	12.4	14.2±3.0	40.6	28.3±14.7
<i>Amaranthus dubius</i>	51	4.4	95.6±7.01	3.9	92.7±1.2	17.0	144±50
<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i>	38	12.6	43.9±1.4	7.2	21.8±0.9	67.1	22.7±0.6

DAS: Days after sowing

Table 2. PUE: Phosphorus Use Efficiency (Shoot dry weight/mg P in shoot + roots) and SRL : Specific Root Length (root length/g root dry weight)

	DAYS	T0 (KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> )		T1 (FePO <sub>4</sub> )		T2 (Ca(H <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> )	
		PUE	SRL	PUE	SRL	PUE	SRL
<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>	30	131.27	62.31	303.44	52.38	92.25	70.51
<i>Vigna unguiculata</i>	33	157.46	45.28	203.71	49.32	164.16	62.60
<i>Cajanus cajan</i>	35	177.42	81.81	192.34	40.86	117.41	70.86
<i>Desmodium tortuosum</i>	44	221.45	34.44	236.66	34.44	118.44	46.50
<i>Amaranthus dubius</i>	51	254.36	128.08	222.87	92.03	87.29	104.42
<i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i>	38	286.89	114.6	275.35	133.26	107.46	60.17

**Acknowledgments:** this work was supported by CONICT, Proyecto S1-2764.

#### References

- Ae et al. 1990. Science 248:477-480  
 Barber S.A. Soil Nutrient Availability. 1984. Wiley, N.Y.  
 Bar-Yosef 1996. Plant Roots. Dekker, N:Y. USA  
 Bolan et al. 1997. Bio.Fert.Soils 24:169-174  
 Lynch&Brown 1998. Phosphorus in Plant Biology. ASPP  
 Rao et al. 1999. J. Plant Nutr. 22:1175-1196  
 Yan X. 1998. Phosphorus in Plant Biology. ASPP. USA